

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

RECEIVED

MAR - 8 2002

TC 1700

OBLON
SPIVAK S
MCCLELLAND
MAIER
A
NEUSTADT
P.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

GREGORY J. MAIER (703) 413-3000 GMAIER@OBLON.COM

CHRISTOPHER D. WARD (703) 413-3000 CWARD@OBLON.COM

Re: U.S. Application 211

Serial No: 09/668,921 Filed: September 25, 2000

Group: 1774

Inventor: Jean-Paul DEBALME, et al.

For: PROCESS FOR

MANUFACTURING A

COMPOSITE TAPE FORMED FROM REINFORCING FIBRES AND FIBRES OF A THERMOPLASTIC ORGANIC MATERIAL

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

## RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Our check in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event that any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 CFR 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is attached.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Gregory J. Maier

Attorney of Record Registration No. 25,599

Christopher D. Ward

Registration No. 41,367

22850

Tel. (703) 413-3000 Fax. (703) 413-2220 (OSMMN 11/98)

GJM:CDW:brf

MAR 0 7 2002 W

1247-0849-6VF

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF

Jean-Paul DEBALME, et al.

: EXAMINER: FERGUSON, L.

SERIAL NO: 09/668,291

FILED: September 25, 2000

: GROUP ART UNIT: 1774

FOR: PROCESS FOR

MANUFACTURING A

COMPOSITE TAPE FORMED FROM REINFORCING FIBRES

AND FIBRES OF A

THERMOPLASTIC ORGANIC

**MATERIAL** 

RECEIVED

MAR - 8 2002

TC 1700

## RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

SIR:

In response to the restriction requirement dated February 7, 2002, the Applicants elect with traverse the invention of Group I, corresponding to Claims 1-6.

The Applicants respectfully traverse the restriction requirement based upon MPEP § 803, which states:

... If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions.

The claims of the present invention would appear to be part of an overlapping search area.

Accordingly, the Applicants respectfully traverse the outstanding restriction requirement on the grounds that a search and examination of the entire application would not place a *serious* burden on the Examiner.

Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the requirement to elect a single invention be withdrawn, and that a full examination on the merits of Claims 1-19 be conducted.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Gregory J. Maier Attorney of Record Registration No. 25,599 Christopher D. Ward

Registration No. 41,367

22850

Tel. (703) 413-3000 Fax. (703) 413-2220 (OSMMN 11/98)

GJM/CDW/brf
I:\atty\cdw\1247\0849.election.wpd